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## Background

$\square$ Metabolism is the process by which our bodies convert food into energy. Even when we are sleeping (resting) our bodies need energy for ongoing functions such as breathing, circulating blood, adjusting hormone levels and growing and repairing cells.
$\square$ The amount of energy a person daily uses to carry out these basic functions is known as that persons resting metabolic rate (RMR).

## Background

$\square$ RMR is usually reported as an estimate of the number of kilocalories per day a given person uses that is attributable to energy required for basic ongoing body functions.
$\square$ A kilocalorie is the amount of energy needed to raise the temperature of one kilogram of water by one degree Celsius (Metric System).
$\square$ One kilocalorie is approximately 4.2 kilojoules (International System of Units).

## Background

$\square$ Different tissues of the body contribute different amounts to a person's RMR. A gram of lean mass (muscle) contributes more than a gram of fat mass.
$\square$ Men generally have higher RMR's than women because they tend to have more muscle tissue.
$\square$ RMR decreases about $5 \%$ each decade in people over age 40 years, partly because of decreases in muscle mass.

## Background

$\square$ If a person is trying to lose weight, he or she can do so by increasing their RMR while maintaining their food intake at a constant level of caloric consumption.
$\square$ RMR can be increased by aerobic (walking, jogging) and resistance (weight lifting) physical activity training.
$\square$ Pharmaceuticals and dietary supplements.
$\square$ A study was designed to evaluate efficacy for increasing RMR in adults using combination of one of two doses of drug $A$ with one of two doses of drug $B$ instead of a single dose of only one of the drugs.
$\square$ In separate studies, each of the two drugs had previously been shown to increase RMR.

## Drug Delivery

$\square$ Participants were give 2 pills to swallow for each treatment administration.
$\square$ One pill was either a dose of drug A or Placebo.
$\square$ The other pill was either a dose of drug $B$ or Placebo.
$\square$ The two pills could not be double Placebo.

## Treatment Doses

$\square$ Study doses for drug A:

1. $\boldsymbol{A}_{0} \quad--$ placebo
2. $A_{2}--2 \mathrm{mg}$ of drug A
3. $A_{4} \quad--4 \mathrm{mg}$ of drug $A$
$\square$ Study doses for drug B:
4. $\boldsymbol{B}_{000}$--- placebo
5. $B_{100}$--- 100 mg of drug $B$
6. $B_{200}$--- 200 mg of drug $B$

## Treatment Combinations

$A_{0} B_{000}$-- Placebo, Placebo (not used)
$A_{0} B_{100}$-- Placebo, 100 mg drug $B$
$A_{0} B_{200}$-- Placebo, 200 mg drug B
$A_{2} B_{000}-2^{2} \mathrm{mg}$ drug A, Placebo
$A_{2} B_{100}-2 \mathrm{mg}$ drug $\mathrm{A}, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ drug $B$
$A_{2} B_{200}-2 \mathbf{m g}$ drug $A, 200 \mathrm{mg}$ drug $B$
$A_{4} B_{000}-\mathbf{~}^{\mathbf{m g} \text { drug A, Placebo }}$
$A_{4} B_{100}-4 \mathrm{mg}$ drug $A, 100 \mathrm{mg}$ drug $B$
$A_{4} B_{200}-\mathbf{4 m g}$ drug $A, 200 \mathrm{mg}$ drug $B$

## Inclusions

$\square$ Healthy males or females between the ages of 18 and 50 years.
$\square$ Body mass index between 19 and 40 kg/m², inclusive.
Exclusions
$\square$ Females who are pregnant or nursing.
$\square$ Women of childbearing potential who do not agree to use an effective method of contraception during the trial.
$\square$ Smokers and nicotine users.
$\square$ Regular medication use.
$\square$ Use of medications known to alter metabolic rate (some asthma medications).

- A pilot study was conducted to obtain variance estimates for a power/sample size analysis prior to conducting a definitive study.
$\square 8$ treatment combinations were investigated over an 8 week period during which 8 participants received each of 8 treatment combinations in random order using a Latin Square design.
- Participants reported to the clinic metabolic laboratory on 8 occasions separated by $\mathbf{7} \pm \mathbf{2}$ days.
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1. Primary Resting Metabolic Rate
2. Secondary

Respiratory quotient
Pulse rate
Systolic blood pressure
Diastolic blood pressure
Temperature
3. Safety Assessments

Lab
Adverse events
Physical exams
Electrocardiograms

## Participant Visit Plan

| Assessments | Screen 1 | Screen 2 | Test Day** |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consent | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |
| Medical History |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| Physical Exam |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| Chemistry Panel | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |
| CBC | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |
| Pregnancy Test | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |  |
| Electrocardiogram |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| Metabolic Rate |  |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Temperature |  |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Blood Pressure |  |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |
| Pulse Rate |  | $\mathbf{x}$ |  |
| Respiratory Quotient |  |  |  |

**Weekly for 8 weeks

## Test Day Plan

| 0 | 30 | 60 | 90 | 120 | 150 | 180 | 210 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Rest x |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Take Medication |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metabolic Rate | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Respiratory Quotient | X |  | X |  | X |  | X |
| Temperature x |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Blood Pressure $\quad$ x |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |
| Pulse Rate $\quad$ x |  | X |  | X |  | X |  |


| $\frac{\text { Dose (mg) }}{}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug A Drug B | Mean (kcal/day) | Std Err (kcal/day) |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 100 | 1393 | 33.6 |
| 0 | 200 | 1396 | 33.6 |
| 2 | 0 | 1340 | 33.6 |
| 2 | 100 | 1364 | 33.6 |
| 2 | 200 | 1339 (low) | 33.6 |
| 4 | 0 | 1361 | 33.6 |
| 4 | 100 | 1356 | 33.6 |
| 4 | 200 | 1398 (high) | 33.6 |

Overall: Mean = 1368 kcal/day SD = 153 kcal/day

Analysis of Variance for 30 min RMR

| Source | DF | SS | MS | p-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Week | 7 | 76490.2 | 10927.1 | 0.9569 |
| Subject | 7 | 1053196.5 | 150456.6 | $<0.0001$ |
| Treatment | 7 | 32937.9 | 4705.4 | 0.8145 |
| Residual Error | 42 | 380242.1 | 9053.4 |  |
| Corrected Tot | 63 | 1484335.9 |  |  |

## ANOVA for $\triangle$ RMR

Analysis of Variance for Post-treatment $\Delta R M R$

| Source | DF | SS | MS | p-value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Week | 7 | 111387.0 | 15912.4 | 0.0034 |
| Subject | 7 | 142448.4 | 20349.8 | 0.0004 |
| Treatment | 7 | 398644.8 | 56949.3 | $<0.0001$ |
| Test Time | 2 | 11741.9 | 5870.9 | 0.3115 |
| Residual Error | $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 9 8 6 6 . 6}$ | 4999.2 |  |
| Corrected Tot | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 9 2 7 6 9 . 9}$ |  |  |


| Trt Num | Tri Combo | -RMR** | Contrast | p-value | Contrast | p-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A0B100 | 36.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | A0B200 | 90.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | A2B000 | 96.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | A2B100 | 104.7 | 4 v 1 | 0.0010 | 4 v 3 | 0.6851 |
| 5 | A2B200 | 178.6 | 5 V 2 | <0.0001 | 5 v 3 | <0.0001 |
| 6 | A4B000 | 130.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | A4B100 | 175.2 | 7 v 1 | <0.0001 | 7 v 6 | 0.0291 |
| 8 | A4B200 | 157.8 | 8 v 2 | 0.0010 | 8 y 6 | 0.1790 |

$\square$ A2B100 is not clearly better than either A2 or B100 alone
$\square$ A2B200 is significantly better than either A2 or B200 alone
$\square$ A4B100 is significantly better than either A4 or B100 alone
$\square$ A4B200 is not clearly better than either A4 or B100 alone
$\square$ Combined therapy is better than monotherapy in some dose combinations.
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